CRLR No. 816 of 2001
(Old No. 1561 of 1989)
Hon'ble Irshad Hussain J.

The revision was time barred by 343 days. To explain the delay, affidavit of the Dinesh Chandra Bauthiyal was filed. A perusal of the same indicates that no explanation has been given as to why the revision could not be filed despite the formalities having completed by 11.3.1989. The revision petition came to be filed on 9.11.1989 and it is stated that the time was spent in making correspondence to the concerned District Magistrate to send a competent person to file the affidavit. There had been undue apathy on the part of the administration. Thus, it is evident that the plausible explanation regarding delay has not been given and considering this, the application for condonation of delay is dismissed.

The revision petition being time barred is dismissed in limine. Consign.

(Irshad Hussain,J.)

Dt. 10.09.2002
MPS